

MICHAEL N. FEUER, City Attorney (SBN 11529x) [No fee – Gov't Code 6103] 1 THOMAS H. PETERS, Chief Assistant City Attorney (SBN 163383) 2 SCOTT MARCUS, Senior Assistant City Attorney (SBN 184980) 3 GERALD M. SATO, Deputy City Attorney (SBN 82780) 200 N. Main Street, Rm. 675, 6th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90012-4129 5 Telephone (213) 978-7563 Facsimile (213) 978-7011 6 Email: Gerald.Sato@lacity.org 7 Attorneys for Respondent CITY OF LOS ANGELES 8 9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 11 12 CYNTHIA ANDERSON-BARKER, **CASE NO. BS 159845** 13 Assigned to the Hon. Carolyn O'Donnell Petitioner, Judge of the Superior Court 14 VS. Department 86 15 DECLARATION OF GERALD M. SATO IN CITY OF LOS ANGELES. OPPOSITION TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF 16 MANDATE Respondent. 17 Date: October 19, 2016 Time: 9:30 a.m. 18 Department 86 19 Petition for Writ of Mandate filed January 27, 2016 20 21 22 I, Gerald M. Sato, declare: 23 24 1. I am a Deputy City Attorney assigned to represent the City of Los Angeles in 25 this action. I have personal knowledge of the following facts and, if called as a 26 witness, could and would testify competently thereto. 27 28

- 2. I am advised by the Office of the City Clerk of Los Angeles that petitioner submitted a CPRA request for various documents purporting to be within the possession of the Los Angeles Department of Transportation. The Office of the City Clerk responded by advising petitioner that she should make the request to the Los Angeles Department of Transportation to the attention of CPRA processing. The petitioner states in her Declaration filed with the moving papers that she sent the letter identified as Exhibit A on her "Administrative Record" directly to the "General Manager, Seleta Reynolds, City of Los Angeles Department of Transportation" on December 15, 2015 requesting disclosure of nine (9) categories of documents, without any request for redactions. Whether it was because the correspondence was directed to the General Manager, or apparently sent by e-mail to an attorney having no connection to the present dispute, the LADOT did not respond. No one saw Exhibit A until after the present action was commenced.
- 3. It appeared to me that several categories of documents constituted "public records", and the City has produced several cases of responsive documents amounting to many thousands of page (amusingly described as "some documents" in the Declaration of Donald Cook).
- 4. I did receive a telephone call from Donald Cook regarding an October 7, 1996 Memorandum referenced in one of the produced documents as a past policy. I did not believe that the past policy was encompassed by any of the categories described in Ms. Anderson-Barker's December 15, 2015 letter or her petition, but I agreed to inquire as to its existence anyway. Upon information and belief, after a diligent search conducted at my request, the City does not have possession, custody, or control of the memorandum

5. Mr. Cook has mentioned to me in conversation and communicated to me by letter his belief that petitioner is entitled to categories of documents not mentioned in either Ms. Anderson-Barker's December 15, 2015 letter or the petition for writ of mandate, specifically, to "information" which he believes not to be exempt from disclosure which would appear in "redacted" CHP 180 forms, and VIIC documents which have already been adjudicated not to be in the possession of the City of Los Angeles. I did tell him that the City would not create redacted documents or disclose data over which the City is not in possession. For the reasons stated in the memorandum of points and authorities filed herewith, the City does not believe it should be ordered to produced CHP 180 documents, regardless of whether the documents may be redacted, or VIIC data, which has already been adjudicated in one of Donald Cook's other writ of mandate proceedings to be not in the possession of the City of Los Angeles for purposes of CPRA.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct. Executed on September 19, 2016 at Los Angeles, California.

GERALD M. SATO

## PROOF OF SERVICE

I declare that I am and was at all times herein mentioned over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the action in which this service is made; that at all times herein mentioned I have been employed in the County of Los Angeles and that my business address is 200 No. Main Street, Room 675, CHE, Los Angeles, California 90012.

On September 19, 2016, I served the following document(s) described as:

## DECLARATION OF GERALD SATO IN OPPOSITION TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDATE

[X] BY U.S. MAIL – placed the envelope for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with this business' practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing. It is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid addressed as follows:

Donald W. Cook, Esq. 3435 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 2910 Los Angeles, CA 90010

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on September 19, 2016, at Los Angeles, California.

TERRY LEE